

Superconvergence of the Iterated Degenerate Kernel Method

Hideaki Kaneko*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Old Dominion University
Norfolk, Virginia 23529-0077

Peter Padilla

NASA Langley Research Center
MS-152
Hampton, Virginia 23681-0001
and

Yuesheng Xu[†]

Department of Mathematics
North Dakota State University
Fargo, North Dakota 58105

*Partially supported by NASA, project title NCCI-213.

[†]Partially supported by NASA, project title NCCI-213, National Science Foundation DMS-9504780 and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

SUPERCONVERGENCE OF THE ITERATED DEGENERATE KERNEL METHOD

H. Kaneko, P. Padilla and Y. Xu

Abstract

First, in this paper, a general theory for the iterated operator approximation is developed. Some of the known results of the superconvergence of the various iterated schemes can be formulated as special cases of this theory. The method is then subsequently used to prove the superconvergence of the iterated degenerate kernel method for the Fredholm equations of the second kind. A similar result of the superconvergence of the degenerate kernel method for the Hammerstein equations is also given.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Fredholm integral equation of the second kind

$$x(t) - \int_a^b k(t, s)x(s)ds = f(t) \quad a \leq t \leq b \quad (1.1)$$

and the Hammerstein equation

$$x(t) - \int_a^b k(t, s)\psi(s, x(s))ds = f(t) \quad a \leq t \leq b \quad (1.2)$$

where k, f in (1.1) and (1.2) and ψ in (1.2) are known functions and in both cases x is the function to be determined. Several numerical methods are available for obtaining approximate solutions of (1.1) and (1.2). It is well known that popularly used methods such as the Galerkin method, the Petrov-Galerkin method and the collocation method can be viewed as special cases of the projection method (see [2] and [5]). The projection method for the Fredholm integral equation of the second kind (1.1) can be described as follows: Let X denote the Banach space of functions and $\{X_n\}$ a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of X that is essentially dense in X , -i.e. $cl \cup X_n = X$ where clS denotes the closure of a set S . We denote a family of projections of X onto X_n by $\{P_n\}$. Now we define

$$Kx(t) \equiv \int_a^b k(t, s)x(s)ds \quad \text{for each } t \in (a, b). \quad (1.3)$$

We assume throughout this paper that K is a compact operator of X into X . Equation (1.1) can be written in operator form as

$$x = f + Kx. \quad (1.4)$$

Here x is assumed to be an element of X . The underlying principle that supports the projection method is to seek an element $x_n \in X_n$ for which the residual $r_n \equiv f - (x_n - Kx_n)$ disappears under the projection P_n , -i.e. $P_n r_n = 0$ for each n . In the Galerkin method, the projection is orthogonal. On the other hand, in the collocation method, the projection is interpolatory. The projection related to the Petrov-Galerkin method is studied in [5]. A similar discussion for the projection method for the nonlinear Hammerstein equation (1.2) will be left to the reader (see, e.g. [2] [3] [4] [9] [11]).

Let x_n denote a numerical solution of equation (1.4). The iterated solution x_n^I corresponding to x_n is defined by

$$x_n^I(t) = f(t) + \int_a^b k(t, s)x_n(s)ds. \quad (1.5)$$

If x_n denotes the Galerkin or the collocation solution, it is known [7] that, under suitable conditions on the kernel k and the forcing term f , their corresponding iterated solutions converge to x more rapidly than x_n does to x , a phenomenon commonly known as superconvergence. For the case of sufficiently smooth kernel and forcing term, the convergence rate of the iterated solution is double of that of the original. It is proved in [5] that the iterated Petrov-Galerkin method achieves a superconvergence with order equal to the sum of the orders of approximation for the trial space and the test space. One of the purposes of this paper is to develop a general iterated approximation scheme for equation (1.1). This will be done in Section 2. The generality of the theory is demonstrated by showing that the results on the superconvergence of the Galerkin and the collocation iterates examined by Graham, Joe and Sloan [7] can be viewed within the framework of the present iterated operator approximation scheme. We remark that the aforementioned results in [7] have been recently generalized to hold for the Hammerstein equation (1.2) [11] [8]. Moreover, in these papers [11] [8], the superconvergence of the iterated solutions for weakly singular Hammerstein equations are also observed. It appears that these results on superconvergence of the weakly singular equations are new even for the Fredholm equations.

The results obtained in Section 2 are subsequently used to establish the superconvergence of the iterated degenerate kernel method for equation (1.1). The degenerate kernel methods for solving equations (1.1) and (1.2) are discussed in [1] and [12] respectively. It turns out that the superconvergence of the iterated degenerate kernel method depends upon the way in which the kernel k in equation (1.1) is decomposed as a finite sum of products of univariate functions. This will be illustrated in Section 3. In Section 4, the iterated degenerate kernel

method for Hammerstein equation (1.2) is discussed. Even though the material in Section 4 does not hold a direct relationship with the general theory presented in Section 2, we feel that it is appropriate to include it here since it extends the results of Section 3 as well as those in [12]. Also included in Section 4 is a discussion on computational consideration for solving the system of nonlinear equations that must be solved in order to obtain the degenerate kernel solution of (1.2). We make use of the device introduced by Kumar and Sloan [13] to ‘linearize’ the system of nonlinear equations. That is, the integrals that must be evaluated repeatedly at each stage of the iterations, when a conventional iterated scheme is employed, are now evaluated only once at the beginning of computation.

Examples are provided in Sections 3 and 4 to demonstrate our theory.

2 The Iterated Approximation for the Fredholm Integral Equations of the Second Kind

In this section, we consider the Fredholm integral equation of the second kind given by (1.1). We denote by $C[a, b]$ the Banach space of all continuous functions defined on $[a, b]$ equipped with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Also we denote by $L_p[a, b]$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the Banach space of measurable functions whose p th power are integrable ($1 \leq p < \infty$) or the Banach space of essentially bounded functions ($p = \infty$) on $[a, b]$. In equation (1.1), we assume that

$$f \in C[a, b]. \quad (2.1)$$

When K is viewed as an operator of $C[a, b]$ into $C[a, b]$, the compactness of K is guaranteed, for instance, by assuming that, with $k_t(s) \equiv k(t, s)$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \tau} \int_a^b |k_t(s) - k_\tau(s)| ds = 0 \quad \text{for each } \tau \in [a, b]. \quad (2.2)$$

In order to establish a general iterated approximation scheme, we assume that $\{K_n\}$ is a sequence of operators converging to K in operator norm. That is,

$$\|K_n - K\|_p \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } 1 \leq p \leq \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

For each $n \geq 1$, we assume that we have an equation whose solution approximates the solution of (1.4). We denote this approximating equation by

$$x_n = f_n + K_n x_n. \quad (2.4)$$

For example, in the case of the projection method, equation (2.4) is identified by letting $K_n = P_n K$ and $f_n = P_n f$ where P_n is a projection of a Banach space X into its finite dimensional subspace. In the case of the degenerate kernel method, K_n denotes the finite rank separable operator, -i.e. $K_n x(t) = \int_a^b \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \varphi_i(t) \varphi_j(s) x(s) ds$ where $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a linearly independent family of functions defined on $[a, b]$ and $f_n = f$ for each $n \geq 1$. We define the iterated approximation corresponding to (2.4) by

$$x_n^I = f + K x_n. \quad (2.5)$$

As was indicated in Introduction, the iterated approximations for the Galerkin and for the collocation methods exhibit, under suitable smoothness conditions on the kernel k and on the forcing term f , the global superconvergence. It is not known, however, that a similar superconvergence result can be obtained for the iterated approximations for the degenerate kernel method. We are now in a position to prove the main theorem of this section. The advantages of the theory presented are twofold. First, it can be used to describe the known superconvergence results as special cases of this theorem. Second, it can be used to establish the superconvergence of the iterated degenerate kernel method. The latter will be done in Section 3.

Theorem 2.1 *Consider equation (1.1) in a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ where K is a compact linear operator of X into X . We assume that 1 is not an eigenvalue of K and that condition (2.3) is satisfied with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let x_n and x_n^I satisfy equations (2.4) and (2.5) respectively. Then, for sufficiently large n , there exists a constant $c > 0$, independent of n , such that*

$$\|x - x_n^I\| \leq c\{\|K - K_n\|^2 + \|K(K - K_n)x\| + \|K - K_n\| \|f - f_n\| + \|K(f - f_n)\|\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof: From (1.4) and (2.5),

$$x - x_n^I = K(x - x_n). \quad (2.7)$$

Applying K on both sides of (1.4) and (2.4), we obtain

$$Kx = Kf + K^2x \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$Kx_n = KK_n x_n + Kf_n. \quad (2.9)$$

It follows from (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
K(x - x_n) &= K^2x - KK_nx_n + K(f - f_n) \\
&= K(Kx - K_nx_n) + K_n(Kx - Kx_n) - K_n(Kx - Kx_n) + K(f - f_n) \\
&= K_n(Kx - Kx_n) + (K - K_n)(Kx - Kx_n) + K(K - K_n)x_n + K(f - f_n).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Since $\|K_n - K\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $(I - K)^{-1}$ exists by assumption, we conclude [1] that $(I - K_n)^{-1}$ exists and uniformly bounded for sufficiently large n . Therefore,

$$K(x - x_n) = (I - K_n)^{-1}\{(K - K_n)(Kx - Kx_n) + K(K - K_n)x_n + K(f - f_n)\}.$$

Taking the norm on both sides,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|K(x - x_n)\| &\leq \|(I - K_n)^{-1}\|\{\|K - K_n\|\|K\|\|x - x_n\| + \|K(K - K_n)x_n\| \\
&\quad + \|K(f - f_n)\|\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
x - x_n &= Kx - K_nx_n + f - f_n \\
&= Kx - K_nx + K_nx - K_nx_n + f - f_n
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$(I - K_n)(x - x_n) = Kx - K_nx + f - f_n,$$

or

$$x - x_n = (I - K_n)^{-1}\{(K - K_n)x + f - f_n\}. \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.7) and (2.12),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x - x_n^I\| &= \|K(x - x_n)\| \\
&\leq c\{\|K - K_n\|\|x - x_n\| + \|K(K - K_n)x_n\| + \|K(f - f_n)\|\} \\
&\leq c\{\|K - K_n\|^2 + \|K - K_n\|\|f - f_n\| + \|K(K - K_n)x_n\| \\
&\quad + \|K(f - f_n)\|\}
\end{aligned}$$

where c is a constant independent of n . This completes our proof. \square

Corollary 2.2 *For the iterated approximation scheme (2.5), if $f_n = f$ for all n in (2.4), then*

$$\|x - x_n^I\| \leq c\{\|K - K_n\|^2 + \|K(K - K_n)x_n\|\}.$$

It is straightforward to notice that Theorem 2.1 includes the results of superconvergence of the iterated Galerkin and the iterated collocation schemes. For this end, first, we let W_p^m , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, m nonnegative integer, denote the Sobolev space of functions defined over $[a, b]$. Namely $g \in W_p^m$ if and only if $g^{(k)} \in L_p[a, b]$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$, where $g^{(k)}$ denotes the k th distributional derivative of g . Let

$$\Pi_n : a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b$$

be a partition of $[a, b]$. Let $h = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (t_i - t_{i-1})$ and assume $h \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let ν be an integer and r a positive integer such that $0 \leq \nu < r$. Let $S_{r,n}^\nu$ denote the space of splines of order r and continuity ν , namely $\varphi_n \in S_{r,n}^\nu$ if and only if φ_n is a piecewise polynomial of degree $\leq r - 1$ on each $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ and has $\nu - 1$ continuous derivatives on (a, b) . Let P_n^G denote an orthogonal projection onto $S_{r,n}^\nu$ with respect to the L_2 inner product. In the Galerkin method, equation (2.4) becomes

$$x_n^G - P_n^G K x_n^G = P_n^G f \quad (2.13)$$

-i.e. $K_n = P_n^G K$ and $f_n = P_n^G f$. The corresponding iteration approximation to (2.5) is given by

$$x_n^{G^I} = f + K x_n^G. \quad (2.14)$$

If $f \in W_p^m$, ($m \geq 0$), then there exists $\psi_n \in S_{r,n}^\nu$ ($0 \leq \nu < r$) such that

$$\|f - \psi_n\|_p \leq c h^{\min\{m, r\}} \|f\|_{m,p} \quad (2.15)$$

where c is a constant independent of n and $\|f\|_{m,p} = \sum_{k=0}^m \|f^{(k)}\|_p$ (see e.g. [14]). Under the assumption of the quasiuniform mesh, -i.e.

$$\frac{h}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (t_i - t_{i-1})} < c \quad \text{for each } n \text{ and for some constant } c > 0,$$

it can be shown that

$$\sup_n \|P_n^G\|_{L_p \rightarrow L_p} \leq c_2. \quad (2.16)$$

for some constant c_2 . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - P_n^G f\|_p &= \|f - \psi_n + P_n^G \psi_n - P_n^G f\|_p \\ &\leq (1 + \|P_n^G\|) \|f - \psi_n\|_p, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

from (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17), we obtain

$$\|f - P_n^G f\|_p \leq c h^{\min\{m, r\}} \|f\|_{m,p}. \quad (2.18)$$

Now let $\xi(t) = \int_a^b k(t, s)x_n^G(s)ds$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|K(K - K_n)x_n^G(t)| &= |\int_a^b k(t, u)\{\xi(u) - P_n^G\xi(u)\}du| \\
&= |(k_t, \xi - P_n^G\xi)| \\
&= |(k_t - \varphi_n, \xi - P_n^G\xi)| \text{ for every } \varphi_n \in S_{r,n}^\nu \\
&\leq \|k_t - \varphi_n\|_q \|\xi - P_n^G\xi\|_p,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

where $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$ with convention that if $p = 1$, then $q = \infty$. In (2.19), we have used the orthogonality in the third equality and the Hölder inequality in the last step. If $k_t \in W_p^m$ with $\|k_t\|_{m,q}$ bounded independently of t , then from (2.15) there exists $\varphi_n \in S_{r,n}^\nu$ such that $\|k_t - \varphi_n\|_q \leq ch^{\min\{m,r\}}\|k_t\|_{m,q}$. Finally from (2.19) we obtain

$$\|K(K - K_n)x_n^G\|_\infty \leq ch^{2\min\{m,r\}}.$$

Similarly, we can show that whenever $f \in W_p^m$,

$$\|K(f - P_n^G f)\|_\infty \leq ch^{2\min\{m,r\}}$$

and that

$$\|K - K_n\|_\infty \leq ch^{\min\{m,r\}}.$$

Using the estimate (2.6), the above discussion leads us to the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 (Graham, Joe and Sloan [[7] :Theorem 4.1]) *Let x_n^G and $x_n^{G^I}$ denote the solutions for (2.13) and (2.14) respectively. Suppose that $k_t \in W_p^m$ ($0 \leq m \leq r$) with $\|k_t\|_{m,q}$ bounded independently of t and that $f, \xi \in W_p^m$ where $\xi(t) \equiv \int_a^b k(t, s)x_n^G(s)ds$. Then*

$$\|x - x_n^{G^I}\|_\infty \leq ch^{2\min\{m,r\}}$$

where c is independent of n .

Now in the partition Π_n , for each i , we select $\{t_{ij}\}_{j=1}^r$ such that

$$x_{i-1} \leq t_{i1} < t_{i2} < \cdots < t_{ir} \leq x_i.$$

Let P_n^C denote the interpolatory projector of $C[a, b]$ onto $S_{r,n}^\nu$ defined by $P_n^C x(t_{ij}) = x(t_{ij})$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$. In the collocation method, equation (2.4) becomes

$$x_n^C - P_n^C K x_n^C = P_n^C f \tag{2.20}$$

-i.e. $K_n = P_n^C K$ and $f_n = P_n^C f$. The corresponding iterated collocation solution is defined by

$$x_n^{C^I} = f + Kx_n^C. \quad (2.21)$$

As in Theorem 2.3 of the iterated Galerkin method, to see that the iterated collocation method of (2.21) is a special case of Theorem 2.1, we must examine the terms in the right side of (2.6). The second term of (2.6) in this case is analyzed as follows: Let $y(t) = \int_a^b k(t, s)x_n^C(s)ds$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} K(K - K_n)x_n^C(t) &= (k_t, y - P_n^C y) \\ &= (k_t - \varphi_{n,t}, y - P_n^C y) + (\varphi_{n,t}, (I - P_n^C)(y - \psi_n)) \\ &\quad + (\varphi_{n,t}, (I - P_n^C)\psi_n). \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

where $\varphi_{n,t} \in S_{m,n}^0$ and $\psi_n \in S_{l,n}^0$. Now arguing exactly as in the proof of theorem 4.2 [7], we obtain

$$\|K(K - K_n)x_n^C\|_\infty \leq ch^{\min\{l, m+r\}}$$

where c is a constant independent of n . Additional terms in (2.6) can be bounded similarly.

Theorem 2.4 (*Graham, Joe and Sloan [[7] :Theorem 4.2]*) *Let x_n^C and $x_n^{C'}$ be the solutions of (2.20) and (2.21) respectively. Suppose $f \in C[a, b]$, $x \in W_1^l$ ($0 < l \leq 2r$) and $k_t \in W_1^m$ ($0 < m \leq r$), with $\|k_t\|_{m,1}$ bounded independently of t . Then*

$$\|x - x_n^{C^I}\|_\infty \leq ch^{\min\{l, r+m\}}$$

where c is independent of n .

3 The Iterated Degenerate Kernel Methods

The purpose of this section is to use Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.2 in particular, to prove the superconvergence of the iterated degenerate kernel method. A generalization to the iterated degenerate kernel method for the Hammerstein equations will be done in Section 4.

Consider equation (1.1). The degenerate kernel method for approximating the solution of (1.1) requires us to approximate the kernel k in (1.1) by a degenerate kernel whose general form can be described as

$$k_n(s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \varphi_i(s) \varphi_j(t) \quad (3.1)$$

where $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a set of linearly independent functions in $C[a, b]$. The operator K in (1.3) is then approximated by a sequence of finite rank operators

$$K_n y(t) = \int_a^b k_n(t, s) y(s) ds. \quad (3.2)$$

Subsequently an approximate solution x_n is found by solving

$$x_n(t) - \int_a^b k_n(t, s) x_n(s) ds = f(t) \quad a \leq t \leq b. \quad (3.3)$$

Equation (3.3) can be written as

$$x_n(t) - \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(t) \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \int_a^b a_{ij} \varphi_j(s) x_n(s) ds \right\} = f(t) \quad a \leq t \leq b.$$

If we put

$$c_i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n \int_a^b a_{ij} \varphi_j(s) x_n(s) ds, \quad (3.4)$$

then x_n can be written as

$$x_n(t) = f(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i(t). \quad (3.5)$$

Upon substituting (3.5) into (3.4), we obtain the following $n \times n$ system of linear equations for c_i .

$$c_i - \sum_{l=1}^n c_l \sum_{j=1}^n \int_a^b a_{ij} \varphi_j(s) \varphi_l(s) ds = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_a^b a_{ij} \varphi_j(s) f(s) ds \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (3.6)$$

Finally, once these c_i 's are found by solving (3.6), equation (3.5) gives the required approximate solution for the degenerate kernel method. Equation (3.3) is written in operator form as

$$x_n - K_n x_n = f \quad (3.7)$$

which is a special form of (2.4) with $f_n = f$ for all n . When the degenerate kernel solution x_n is iterated as in (2.5), an interesting question is to ask under what conditions the superconvergence of the iterates is guaranteed. It is the purpose of this section that we provide some answers to this question. It turns out that the superconvergence of the degenerate kernel method hinges critically upon the ways that the kernel k in (3.1) is decomposed. In this section, we demonstrate two different methods that guarantee the superconvergence of the iterates of the degenerate kernel method.

In the first method, we examine the least-squares approximation. For each positive integer N , assume that a partition Π_N satisfies the quasiuniform condition (ref. Section 2). Let n denote

the dimension of the spline space $S_{r,N}^\nu$ and B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n be the B -spline basis for $S_{r,N}^\nu$. As in Section 2, r and ν are integers such that $0 \leq \nu < r$. Assume that $k_n(t, s)$ is the least-squares approximation of $k(t, s)$, -i.e. assume that a_{ij} in (3.1) are such that

$$\int_a^b \int_a^b |k(t, s) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} B_i(s) B_j(t)|^2 ds dt = \min_{b_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}} \int_a^b \int_a^b |k(t, s) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} B_i(s) B_j(t)|^2 ds dt.$$

We are now in a position to present the first theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.1 *Let x be the solution of (1.1) and x_n the solution of (3.7) where k_n in (3.1) is defined by the least-squares approximation. Assume that $k(t, u) \in W_2^m([a, b] \times [a, b])$, $0 \leq m \leq r$, and $k_t(u)x_n(s) \in W_2^l([a, b] \times [a, b])$ for each n and $t \in [a, b]$ and that $\|k_t(u)x_n(s)\|_{L_2}$ is uniformly bounded in t and n , where $0 \leq l \leq r$. Then*

$$\|x - x_n^I\|_2 = O(h^\nu)$$

with $\nu = \min\{m + l, 2m\}$.

Proof: Using Theorem 2.2, we obtain

$$\|x - x_n^I\|_2 = O(h^{2m}) + O(\|K(K - K_n)x_n\|_2). \quad (3.8)$$

Hence we only need to estimate the order of convergence of $\|K(K - K_n)x_n\|_2$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |K(K - K_n)x_n(t)| &= \left| \int_a^b k(t, u) \int_a^b [k(u, s) - k_n(u, s)] x_n(s) ds du \right| \\ &= \left| \int_a^b \int_a^b k(t, u) [k(u, s) - k_n(u, s)] x_n(s) ds du \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\psi_t(u, s) = k(t, u)x_n(s)$ and let $\varphi_n(u, s) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} B_i(u) B_j(s)$ be any element that is a tensor product of B -splines. Then since k_n is the least-squares approximation of k ,

$$\int_a^b \int_a^b \varphi_n(u, s) [k(u, s) - k_n(u, s)] ds du = 0,$$

therefore

$$|K(K - K_n)x_n(t)| = \left| \int_a^b \int_a^b [\psi_t(u, s) - \varphi_n(u, s)] [k(u, s) - k_n(u, s)] ds du \right|.$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$|K(K - K_n)x_n(t)| \leq \|\psi_t - \varphi_n\|_2 \|k - k_n\|_2.$$

Noting that $\|k - k_n\|_2 = O(h^m)$ and choosing particularly φ_n so that $\|\psi_t - \varphi_n\|_2 = O(h^l)$, (3.8) proves the desired result. \square

The second method that produces superconvergence of the iterates of the degenerate kernel solutions is based upon the idea of approximating the kernel k by interpolation. As before, let

$$\Pi_N : a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = b$$

be a partition of $[a, b]$. Let $h = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (t_i - t_{i-1})$ and assume as in Section 2 that $h \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r$ be the zeros of the r th degree Legendre polynomial in $[-1, 1]$. We shift these points to each subinterval $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ to obtain $\{\tau_{ij}\}_{j=1}^r$. Denote the interpolation polynomials by φ_{ij} , -i.e.

$$\varphi_{ij}(\tau_{\alpha,\beta}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (i, j) = (\alpha, \beta) \\ 0 & \text{if } (i, j) \neq (\alpha, \beta) \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

An approximating degenerate kernel k_n ($n = Nr$) is now defined by

$$k_n(s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \sum_{\beta=1}^r k(\tau_{ij}, \tau_{\alpha,\beta}) \varphi_{ij}(s) \varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(t). \quad (3.10)$$

Let the interpolation projector of $C([a, b] \times [a, b])$ into the tensor product space $S_{r,n}^0 \otimes S_{r,n}^0$ be denoted by P_n . That is,

$$P_n k(s, t) = k_n(s, t)$$

where k_n is defined in (3.10). The following theorem demonstrates the superconvergence of the iterated degenerate kernel method when the kernel is decomposed by the interpolation scheme of (3.10).

Theorem 3.2 *Assume that in equation (1.1), $k(u, s) \in W_1^m([a, b] \times [a, b])$, $0 < m \leq r$, and $k_t(u)x_n(s) \in W_1^l([a, b] \times [a, b])$, $0 < l \leq 2r$, for each $t \in [a, b]$ with $\|k_t(\cdot)x_n(\cdot)\|_{W_1^l([a, b] \times [a, b])}$ bounded independent of t and n . Then*

$$\|x - x_n^I\|_\infty = O(h^\nu), \quad \nu = \min\{l, r + m\}.$$

Proof: As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we need to estimate the error of $\|K(K - K_n)x_n\|_\infty$.

By taking $\varphi_n \in S_{l,n}^0 \otimes S_{l,n}^0$ and $\psi_n \in S_{m,n}^0 \otimes S_{m,n}^0$, for each $t \in [a, b]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
K(K - K_n)x(t) &= \int_a^b k(t, u) \int_a^b [k(u, s) - k_n(u, s)]x_n(s)dsdu \\
&= \int_a^b \int_a^b k(t, u)x_n(s)[k(u, s) - k_n(u, s)]dsdu \\
&\equiv (k_t(u)x_n(s), k(u, s) - k_n(u, s)) \\
&= (k_t(u)x_n(s) - \varphi_n(u, s), k(u, s) - k_n(u, s)) \\
&\quad + (\varphi_n(u, s), (I - P_n)(k(u, s) - \psi_n(u, s)) + (\varphi_n(u, s), (I - P_n)\psi_n(u, s))
\end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof follows once again by an argument similar to the one given in the proof of theorem 4.2 of Graham, Joe and Sloan [7]. A straightforward modification is needed to accomodate the bivariate functions. On this point, the reader is referred to the book by Cheney [6] that contains a discussion on various methods of approximating a bivariate function by elements from the tensor product space of finite dimensional univariate functions. \square

Numerical Examples

We present numerical examples for a second kind Fredholm equation using least-squares and interpolation to approximate $k(s, t)$. Let $k(s, t) = e^{st}$, f is chosen so that the solution is $x(t) = 1$. Then, the computed errors for the least squares method are shown in the following table.

	Errors	
n	non-iterated	iterated
2	.1362e-1	.8013e-4
3	.6229e-2	.1576e-4
4	.3568e-2	.4978e-5
convergence rate \approx	1.93	4

For the interpolation method, using the roots of the second degree Legendre polynomial, we have the following.

	Errors	
n	non-iterated	iterated
2	.1308e-1	.8242e-4
3	.6087e-2	.1647e-4
4	.3501e-2	.5231e-5
convergence rate \approx	1.9	4

4 The Iterated Degenerate Kernel Method for Hammerstein Equations

In this section, we extend the results of the previous section to obtain superconvergence of the iterated degenerate kernel method for Hammerstein equation described in (1.2). We assume throughout this section, unless stated otherwise, the following conditions on k , f and ψ :

1. $\lim_{t \rightarrow \tau} \|k_t - k_\tau\|_\infty = 0, \quad \tau \in [a, b];$
2. $M \equiv \sup_{a \leq s \leq b} \int_a^b |k(t, s)| dt < \infty;$
3. $f \in C[a, b];$
4. $\psi(t, x)$ is continuous in $t \in [0, 1]$ and Lipschitz continuous in $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$, i.e., there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ for which

$$|\psi(t, x_1) - \psi(t, x_2)| \leq C_1 |x_1 - x_2|, \text{ for all } x_1, x_2 \in (-\infty, \infty);$$

5. the partial derivative $\psi^{(a,b)}$ of ψ with respect to the second variable exists and is Lipschitz continuous, i.e., there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$|\psi^{(a,b)}(t, x_1) - \psi^{(a,b)}(t, x_2)| \leq C_2 |x_1 - x_2|, \text{ for all } x_1, x_2 \in (-\infty, \infty);$$

6. for $x \in C[0, 1]$, $\psi(., x(.)), \psi^{(0,1)}(., x(.)) \in C[a, b].$

Additional assumptions will be given later as needed. A study of the degenerate kernel method for Hammerstein equations was made by Kaneko and Xu [12]. A brief outline of the method is described below for convenience. As in the Fredholm equation case, the kernel k in (1.2) is replaced by k_n of (3.1). The equation that one must solve is the following;

$$x_n(t) - \int_a^b k_n(t, s) \psi(s, x_n(s)) ds = f(t), \quad a \leq t \leq b. \quad (4.1)$$

Following analogously the development made in (3.5) and (3.6), with

$$c_i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n \int_a^b a_{ij} \varphi_j(s) \psi(s, x_n(s)) ds, \quad (4.2)$$

x_n can be written as

$$x_n(t) = f(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i(t). \quad (4.3)$$

Substituting (4.3) into (4.2), we obtain the following n nonlinear equations in n unknowns c_1, \dots, c_n ,

$$c_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_a^b a_{ij} \varphi_j(s) \psi(s, f(s) + \sum_{l=1}^n c_l \varphi_l(s)) ds, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (4.4)$$

Define

$$K\Psi x(t) \equiv \int_a^b k(t, s) \psi(s, x(s)) ds$$

so that (1.2) can be written as

$$x - K\Psi x = f. \quad (4.5)$$

Similarly we write equation (4.1) as

$$x_n - K_n \Psi x_n = f \quad (4.6)$$

The iterated solution x_n^I is now obtained by

$$x_n^I = f + K\Psi x_n. \quad (4.7)$$

The Fréchet derivative of $K\Psi$ at $\varphi_0 \in C[a, b]$ is denoted and defined by

$$(K\Psi)'(\varphi_0)(\varphi)(t) = \int_a^b k(t, s) \psi_2(s, \varphi_0(s)) \varphi(s) ds$$

for $\varphi \in C[a, b]$ and ψ_2 denoting the first partial derivative of ψ with respect to the second variable. The following theorem describes the superconvergence phenomenon of x_n^I to x . Here we assume that the decomposition of the kernel in (3.1) is done by the interpolation scheme of the previous section. The case for the least-squares approximation is similar and will be left to the reader to supply the detail.

Theorem 4.1 *Assume that in equation (1.2) $k(u, s) \in W_1^m([a, b] \times [a, b])$, $0 < m \leq r$, and $\eta_{t,n}(u, s) \equiv k_t(u) \psi(s, x_n(s))$ and $\eta_{t,n}(u, s) \in W_1^l([a, b] \times [a, b])$, $0 < l \leq 2r$, for each n and $t \in [a, b]$ where x_n is the solution of (4.6). Assume also that 1 is not an eigenvalue $(K\Psi)'(x)$ and that $\|\eta_{t,n}\|_{W_1^l}$ is uniformly bounded in t and n . Then*

$$\|x - x_n^I\|_\infty = O(h^\nu), \quad \nu = \min\{l, r + m\}.$$

Proof: From (4.5) and (4.6),

$$x - x_n^I = K\Psi x - K\Psi x_n. \quad (4.8)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
K\Psi x - K\Psi x_n &= K\Psi(f + K\Psi x) - K\Psi(f + K_n\Psi x_n) \\
&= (K\Psi)'(\theta(n)(f + K_n\Psi x_n) + (1 - \theta(n))(f + K\Psi x))(K\Psi x - K_n\Psi x_n) \\
&\quad \text{for some } 0 \leq \theta(n) \leq 1 \\
&= K_{\theta(n)}(K\Psi x - K_n\Psi x_n + (K\Psi x - K\Psi x_n) - (K\Psi x - K\Psi x_n)),
\end{aligned}$$

where $K_{\theta(n)} \equiv (K\Psi)'(\theta(n)(f + K_n\Psi x_n) + (1 - \theta(n))(f + K\Psi x))$. Since K is compact, $(K\Psi)'(x)$ is also compact. Also since the solutions $\{x_n\}$ of degenerate kernel method converge to the solution x of (4.5), [12], $\{K_{\theta(n)}\}$ converges in operator norm to $(K\Psi)'(x)$. From this along with the fact that 1 is not an eigenvalue of $(K\Psi)'(x)$, a standard theorem (see e.g. [1]) yields that $(I - K_{\theta(n)})^{-1}$ exists and uniformly bounded for sufficiently large n . Hence we obtain

$$K\Psi x - K\Psi x_n = (I - K_{\theta(n)})^{-1} K_{\theta(n)}(K - K_n)\Psi x_n. \quad (4.9)$$

Combining (4.8) and (4.9), and taking the norm on both sides, we obtain

$$\|x - x_n^I\|_{\infty} \leq c\|(K - K_n)\Psi x_n\|_{\infty},$$

for some constant c independent of n . Now using the assumptions on k and η_t and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we obtain the desired result. \square

Finally we consider a computational problem associated with (4.4). It is customary that the system of nonlinear equations (4.4) is solved by an iterative scheme. For example, the fixed point iteration scheme for (4.4) is to generate $\{c_i^{(k)}\}_{i=1}^n$ for $k \geq 1$ with a given initial vector $\{c_i^{(0)}\}_{i=1}^n$ by

$$c_i^{(k+1)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_a^b a_{ij} \varphi_j(s) \psi(s, f(s) + \sum_{l=1}^n c_l^{(k)} \varphi_l(s)) ds, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (4.10)$$

In this scheme, at each step of iteration, the integrals in (4.10) must be computed since the integrands contain the different values of $c_i^{(k)}$. To circumvent this difficulty, we propose the following device whose idea was originally discussed in [13]. We let

$$z_n(t) = \psi(t, x_n(t)) \quad (4.11)$$

where x_n is defined in (4.3). We have, assuming that k_n takes the form of (3.1),

$$z_n(t) = \psi(t, f(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} \varphi_i(t) \int_a^b \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j(s) z_n(s) ds). \quad (4.12)$$

The equation (4.12) can be solved by the collocation-type scheme that was developed by Kumar and Sloan [13]. Namely let $\{\eta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be n functions defined on $[a, b]$ and let $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be n distinct points for which

$$\det(\eta_i(t_j)) \neq 0. \quad (4.13)$$

The element z_n in (4.11) is now approximated in the form $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \eta_j$. The α_j 's can be found by solving the following nonlinear equations. Note that the constants α_j 's are moved out of the integrals. This makes the repeated computations of the integrals unnecessary when the following system of nonlinear equations is to be solved by an iterated scheme.

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \eta_j(t_k) = \psi(t_k, f(t_k)) + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} \varphi_i(t_k) \sum_{l=1}^n \alpha_l \int_a^b \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j(s) \eta_l(s) ds. \quad (4.14)$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. If we denote $A \equiv [\eta_j(t_i)]$ and the right side of (4.14) by $\psi_i(\bar{\alpha})$, then with $\bar{\psi}(\bar{\alpha}) \equiv (\psi_i(\bar{\alpha}))$ and $\bar{\alpha}^{(k)} \equiv (\alpha_i^{(k)})$, (4.14) may be solved by the fixed point iteration scheme that can be described as

$$\bar{\alpha}^{(k)} = A^{-1} \bar{\psi}(\bar{\alpha}^{(k-1)}). \quad (4.14)$$

Numerical Examples

Here we present numerical examples for a Hammerstein equation using least-squares and interpolation to approximate $k(s, t)$. Let $k(s, t) = e^{st}$, $\psi(s, t) = \cos(s + t)$, and f is chosen so that $x(t) = 1$. Then, the computed errors for the least squares method are shown in the following table.

n	Errors	
	non-iterated	iterated
2	.2805e-2	.5667e-5
3	.1290e-2	.1212e-5
4	.74154e-3	.3939e-6
convergence rate \approx	1.92	3.85

For the interpolation method, using the roots of the second order Legendre polynomial for interpolation points, we obtained the following.

	Errors	
n	non-iterated	iterated
2	.2755e-2	.3615e-4
3	.1272e-2	.7050e-5
4	.7306e-3	.2219e-5
convergence rate \approx	1.92	4

References

- [1] K. E. Atkinson, *A Survey of Numerical Methods for the Solution of fredholm Integral Equations of the Second Kind*, SIAM Philadelphia, PA. (1976)
- [2] K. E. Atkinson, A survey of numerical methods for solving nonlinear integral equations, *J. Integral Equations Appl.* **4** (1992), 15-46.
- [3] K. E. Atkinson and J. Flores, The discrete collocation method for nonlinear integral equations, Report on Computational Mathematics, No. 10, the University of Iowa, 1991.
- [4] K. E. Atkinson and F. Potra, Projection and iterated projection methods for nonlinear integral equations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **24** (1987), 1352-1373.
- [5] Z. Chen and Y. Xu, The Petrov-Galerkin and iterated Petrov-Galerkin methods for second kind integral equations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **35** (1998), 406-434.
- [6] E. W. Cheney, *Multivariate Approximation Theory: Selected Topics*, CBMS-NSF 51, Regional Series in Applied Math. SIAM (1986).
- [7] I. Graham, S. Joe and I. Sloan, Iterated Galerkin versus iterated collocation for integral equations of the second kind, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **5** (1985), 355-369.
- [8] H. Kaneko, R. Noren and P. Padilla, Superconvergence of the iterated collocation method for Hammerstein equations, *J. Comp. Appl. Math.* **80** (1997), 335-349.
- [9] H. Kaneko, R. Noren and Y. Xu, Numerical solutions for weakly singular Hammerstein equations and their superconvergence, *J. Integral Equations Appl.* **4** (1992), 391-407.

- [10] H. Kaneko, R. Noren and Y. Xu, Regularity of the solution of Hammerstein equations with weakly singular kernels, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **13** (1990), 660-670.
- [11] H. Kaneko and Y. Xu, Superconvergence of the iterated Galerkin methods for Hammerstein equations, *SIAM J. Num. Anal.* **33** (1996), 1048-1064.
- [12] H. Kaneko and Y. Xu, Degenerate kernel method for Hammerstein equations, *Math. Comp.* **56** (1991), 141-148.
- [13] S. Kumar and I. H. Sloan, A new collocation-type method for Hammerstein integral equations, *Math. Comp.* **48** (1987), 585-593.
- [14] L. Schumaker, *Spline Functions: Basis Theory*, Wiley-Interscience, (1981).