

## **I.Introduction.**

The objective here is to efficiently transform the following generalized eigen-problem:

$$K\phi = \lambda M\phi$$
 .....(1)

into the standard eigen-problem, where

 $K = N \times N$ , symmetrical Positive Definite (SPD) "Stiffness" matrix

 $M = N \times N$ , Symmetrical matrix

#### Remarks

- 1. If [M] is a "consistent" mass matrix, then [M] is a SPD matrix [Ref 1, page 854].
- 2. If [M] is a "lumped" mass matrix, with  $m_{ii} > 0$  then [M] is SPD.
- 3. If [M] is a "lumped" mass, with some zero diagonal elements, then we first need to remove the massless dof by using the "static condensation" procedure [Ref.1, page 862].

**Derivations:** 

Let 
$$\phi = \begin{cases} \phi_m \\ \phi_s \end{cases}$$
 .....(2)

Where  $\phi_m$  = displacement vector related to the "master" (or mass) dof.

 $\phi_s$  = displacement vector related to the "slave" (or massless) dof.

Hence, equation (1) can be partitioned as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{mm} & K_{ms} \\ K_{sm} & K_{ss} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_m \\ \phi_s \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} M_{mm} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_m \\ \phi_s \end{bmatrix} \dots (3)$$

From the second portion of equation (3), one gets:

$$K_{sm}\phi_m + K_{ss}\phi_s = 0 \qquad (4)$$

which can be solved for:

$$\phi_{s} = -[K_{ss}]^{-1} \{K_{sm}\phi_{m}\}...$$
(5)

from the first portion of the equation (3), one gets:

$$K_{mm}\phi_m + K_{ms}\phi_s = \lambda M_{mm}\phi_m \dots (6)$$

substitute eq(5) into eq(6), one has:

$$K_{mm}\phi_m + K_{ms}\left(-K_{ss}^{-1}\left\{K_{sm}\phi_m\right\}\right) = \lambda M_{mm}\phi_m$$
 (7)

or:

$$[K_{mm} - K_{ms} K_{ss}^{-1} K_{sm}] \phi_m = \lambda M_{mm} \phi_m \dots (8)$$

Let:

Let: 
$$K_{mm}^* = [K_{mm} - K_{ms} K_{ss}^{-1} K_{sm}]$$
 .....(9)

Then, Eq (8) becomes:

where both

 $K_{mm}^*$  and  $M_{mm}$  matrices are SPD.

# II. How to convert a generalized Evalue problem in to a standard one??

### **2.1 Method 1:**

$$K\phi = \lambda M\phi$$
 .....(11)

Pre multiply both sides of equation 11 by  $[K]^{-1}$ ; to obtain:

$$\phi = \lambda K^{-1} M \phi \qquad (12)$$
 or:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\phi = K^{-1}M\phi \dots (13)$$

Let 
$$\lambda^* = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$
 (or  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\lambda^*}$ ) .....(14)

And let 
$$K^* = K^{-1}M$$
 .....(15)

Hence, Eq(13) becomes:

$$\lambda^* \phi = K^* \phi$$
 (Standard Evalue problem) .....(16)

#### **Remarks:**

- (a)  $K^*$ , in general, will be <u>unsymmetrical</u>, even though both K and M are symmetrical matrices!
- (b)  $K^*$ , in general, will be <u>nearly dense</u>, even though both K and M are sparse matrices!
- (c) The original evalues  $\lambda$  (in Eq(1)) is the reciprocal of the eigen values  $\lambda^*$  in the standard Evalue problem (in Eq 16).

## **2.2 Method2:**

(Factorizing the positive definite mass matrix M)

If [M] is SPD, then:

Let 
$$[M] = [U]^T [U]$$
 by using cholesky factorization ......(17)

Then, Eq (1) becomes:

$$K\phi = \lambda \left[ U^T U \right] \phi \tag{18}$$

Pre-multiply both sides of Eq(18) by  $U^{-T}$ , one obtains:

$$U^{-T}K\phi = \lambda U^{-T}[U^{T}U]\phi \qquad (19)$$
 or

$$U^{-T}K\phi = \lambda U\phi \qquad (20)$$

Define:

$$\tilde{\phi} = U\phi \; ; \; \phi = U^{-1} \; \tilde{\phi} \qquad (21)$$

Then, Eq. (20) becomes:

$$U^{-T}K(U^{-1}\tilde{\phi}) = \lambda \tilde{\phi} \qquad (22)$$

Define:

$$K^* = U^{-T} K U^{-1}$$
 (=Symmetrical, since K is symmetrical) ......(23)

$$(\Rightarrow K^{*^{T}} = [U^{-1}]^{T}[K]^{T}[U^{-T}]^{T} = U^{-T}KU^{-1} = K^{*})$$

Hence, Eq (22) becomes:

$$K^* \tilde{\phi} = \lambda \tilde{\phi}$$
 (Standard Evalue Problem) ......(24)

#### Remarks:

- (a) The eigen-values  $\lambda$  of the generalized Eigen-equation (1) is the <u>same</u> as the eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of the standard eigen-equation (24).
- (b) In many <u>iterative</u> methods, one often needs to compute the following matrix-vector operation:

$$\overrightarrow{x} = [K^*] \overrightarrow{d} = ?? \dots (25)$$

Where  $\overrightarrow{d}$  is a known vector, with compatible dimension. Substituting Eq.(23) into Eq.(25), one gets:

$$\overrightarrow{x} = U^{-T} K U^{-1} \overrightarrow{d} \qquad (26)$$

$$y^{1}$$

$$y^{2}$$

Step 1:

Compute 
$$\overrightarrow{y}^1 = U^{-1} \overrightarrow{d}$$
; or  $U \overrightarrow{y}^1 = \overrightarrow{d}$ .....(27)

Since we have already factorized  $[M] = U^T U$  (see Eq.17), hence  $\vec{y}$  can be solved by backward solution phase!

Step 2:

Step 3:

Compute 
$$\overset{\rightarrow}{x} = U^{-T} \overset{\rightarrow}{y}^2$$
; or  $U^T \overset{\rightarrow}{x} = \overset{\rightarrow}{y}^2$  .....(29)

Hence,  $\vec{x}$  can be solved by forward solution phase!

(c) We do <u>not</u> have to explicitly form the nearly dense matrix  $K^*$  (shown in Eq.24), since in practical coding, we only need to deal with U and K (see Eq. 26).

#### **2.3 Method 3:**

(Factorizing the SPD stiffness matrix K)

Let 
$$K = U^T U$$
 .....(30)

Then Eq.(1) becomes:

$$\left[U^{T}U\right]\!\!\phi = \lambda M\phi \qquad (31)$$

Pre-multiplying both sides of Eq. (31) by  $U^{-T}$ , one obtains:

$$U^{-T}\left[U^{T}U\right]\phi = \lambda U^{-T}M\phi \dots (32)$$

or 
$$U\phi = \lambda U^{-T}M\phi$$
.....(33)

Define:

$$\tilde{\phi} = U\phi$$
; or  $\phi = U^{-1}\tilde{\phi}$  .....(34)

Hence, Eq.(33) becomes:

$$\tilde{\phi} = \lambda U^{-T} M (\phi = U^{-1} \tilde{\phi}) \qquad (35)$$

or:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}\tilde{\phi} = U^{-T}MU^{-1}\tilde{\phi} \tag{36}$$

Define:

$$\lambda^* = \frac{1}{\lambda}; or \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{\lambda^*} \tag{37}$$

Then, Eq.(36) becomes:

$$\lambda^* \stackrel{\sim}{\phi} = M^* \stackrel{\sim}{\phi}$$
 (standard Evalue problem) ......(39)

Remarks:

- (a) Eigen values (=  $\lambda^*$ ) of the <u>standard</u> problem (see Eq 39) and  $\lambda$  of the <u>generalized</u> problem (see Eq.1) are the reciprocal of each other!
- (b) Computation of matrix \* known vector, such as:

$$\overrightarrow{x} = [M^*] \overrightarrow{d} \tag{40}$$

can be done efficiently, by substituting Eq.(38) into Eq.(40):

$$\overrightarrow{x} = \left[U^{-T}MU^{-1}\right]\overrightarrow{d}$$
.....(41)
$$----- \text{ step } 1 = \text{backward solution phase (see Eq 27)}$$

$$---- \text{ step } 2 = \text{matrix * vector operation (similar to Eq. 28)}$$

$$---- \text{ step } 3 = \text{forward solution phase (see Eq.29)}$$

(c) Again, we do <u>not</u> need to explicitly form the nearly dense matrix  $[M^*]$ , since we only need to deal with matrices [M] and [U], as indicated in Eq.(41).

#### In conclusion:

The user has a freedom to select either Method 2 or Method 3.

The choice should be dictated by the following considerations:

- 1. Which matrix, [K] or [M] (in Eq.1) is SPD?? and hence can be efficiently factorized??
- 2. Do we want to calculate the few lowest (or highest) eigen values of the original, generalized eigen-problem??

# III. Near Future Research works.

- 3.1 How to incorporate Domain Decomposition and Parallel processing into either General (or standard) eigen-problem??
- 3.2 How to improve initial guess for eigen values (by using Gergorin theorms ??), or the initial guess for eigen-vectors (by using only 1 inverse iteration, see subspace iteration of Ref.1 ??)
- 3.3 Can we use "similar tricks" to convert system of linear, indefinite equations into SPD linear equations (Ref.2, Pages 154-163) to transform the generalized (or standard) eigen problem into a different problem which can be solved easier, faster and more robust??

# IV. References:

- K.J. Bathe, Finite Element Procedures, Prentice-Hall (1996)
   D.T Nguyen, Finite Element Methods: Parallel-Sparse Statics and Eigen-Solutions, Springer (2006).