

Quine-McCluskey and the Max-Term (F)

Up until now we have only solved **min-term equations** with the **Quine-McCluskey Tabular**

Reduction. We can also solve **max-term** expressions. The nice thing about this is nothing changes in the procedure from that of the SOP Quine-McCluskey until it is time to convert the PI's and EPI's into Boolean algebra terms including the fact that you **still group the Max-terms by the # of 1's they contain.**

Let's take a look at another example. Just as before, we have seen this expression **k-mapped** before:

Quine-McCluskey Max-term Example:

Problem Statement: Simplify the following **Max-term** list into its minimal **POS** expression.

$$f(A,B,C,D,E) = \prod M(\underbrace{0}_0, \underbrace{2}_1, \underbrace{5}_2, \underbrace{8}_1, \underbrace{10}_2, \underbrace{12}_2, \underbrace{16}_1, \underbrace{18}_2, \underbrace{23}_4, \underbrace{24}_2, \underbrace{26}_3, \underbrace{30}_4)$$

By now you should be fairly conversant with the procedure so we will condense the solution of this problem to entire columns.

- The **# of 1s** has been performed on the provided list above.

*The "Groups-of-Two column is pretty self-explanatory for the student who has studied the previous notes and understood the examples. **Max-terms 5 and 23** were determined to be **PIs** since they were not used to build any group-of-two.*

0 1's	0 ✓	0,2(2) 0,8(8) 0,16(16)
1 1's	2 ✓ 8 ✓ 16 ✓	2,10(8) 2,18(16) 8,10(2) 8,12(4) 8,24(16) 16,18(2) 16,24(8)
2 1's	5 PI 10 ✓ 12 ✓ 18 ✓ 24 ✓	10,26(16) 18,26(8) 24,26(2)
3 1's	26 ✓	26,30(4)
4 1's	23 PI 30 ✓	×

Example Continues on the Next Page)

Example Continues)

0 1's	0 ✓	0,2(2) ✓ 0,8(8) ✓ 0,16(16) ✓	0,2,8,10(2,8) 0,2,16,18(2,16) 0,8,2,10(8,2) 0,8,16,24(8,16) 0,16,2,18(16,2) 0,16,8,24(16,8)
1 1's	2 ✓ 8 ✓ 16 ✓	2,10(8) ✓ 2,18(16) ✓ 8,10(2) ✓ 8,12(4) PI 8,24(16) ✓ 16,18(2) ✓ 16,24(8) ✓	8,10,24,26(2,16) 16,18,24,26(2,8) 2,10,18,26(8,16) 16,24,18,26(8,2) 2,18,10,26(16,8) 8,24,10,26(16,2)
2 1's	5 PI 10 ✓ 12 ✓ 18 ✓ 24 ✓	10,26(16) ✓ 18,26(8) ✓ 24,26(2) ✓	×
3 1's	26 ✓	26,30(4) PI	×
4 1's	23 PI 30 ✓	×	×

The "Group-of-Four" column again demonstrates that **every term in that column has a duplicate**. The duplicates **HAVE** to be lined out (**NOT DELETED OR ERASED**) before moving on.

Example Continues on the next page)

Example Continues)

0 1's	0 ✓	0,2(2) ✓ 0,8(8) ✓ 0,16(16) ✓	0,2,8,10(2,8) ✓ 0,2,16,18(2,16) ✓ 0,8,2,10(8,2) 0,8,16,24(8,16) ✓ 0,16,2,18(16,2) 0,16,8,24(16,8)	0,2,8,10,16,18,24,26(2,8,16) PI 0,2,16,18,8,10,24,26(2,16,8) 0,8,16,24,2,10,18,26(8,16,2)
1 1's	2 ✓ 8 ✓ 16 ✓	2,10(8) ✓ 2,18(16) ✓ 8,10(2) ✓ 8,12(4) PI 8,24(16) ✓ 16,18(2) ✓ 16,24(8) ✓	8,10,24,26(2,16) ✓ 16,18,24,26(2,8) ✓ 2,10,18,26(8,16) ✓ 16,24,18,26(8,2) 2,18,10,26(16,8) 8,24,10,26(16,2)	
2 1's	5 PI 10 ✓ 12 ✓ 18 ✓ 24 ✓	10,26(16) ✓ 18,26(8) ✓ 24,26(2) ✓	×	
3 1's	26 ✓	26,30(4) PI	×	
4 1's	23 PI 30 ✓	×	×	

Note that this time the table has a "*Group of Eight*" column.

- As you learned in the last set of notes, just as the "*Group-of-Four*" column will have '*duplicates*' of each new term, the '*Group-of-Eight*' column will have '*triplicates*' of each new term. Two of each triplicate needs to be lined out. And as always, if a term does not have a complete set of triplicates, then **YOU HAVE MAD A MISTAKE!**

Example Continues on the Next Page)

- The **PI table** is still performed the same way as it would be if the terms were **min-terms**.

PI TABLE

		✓ 0	✓ 2	✓ 5	✓ 8	✓ 10	✓ 12	✓ 16	✓ 18	✓ 23	✓ 24	✓ 26	✓ 30
EPI	5			⊗									
EPI	23									⊗			
EPI	8,12				×		⊗						
EPI	26,30											×	⊗
EPI	0,2,8,10,16,18,24,26	⊗	⊗		×	⊗		⊗	⊗		⊗	×	

- The **Boolean Conversion table** is the 1st place in the example where things are done differently than it would be done with min-terms.
- Everything is the same until the terms are finally converted to Boolean. Then a **1** will give you a **NOT**'ed variable, while a **0** will give you a **non-negated variable**.
- The resulting set of terms are then **AND**ed together into a **POS** expression.

Boolean Conversion Table

		16	8	4	2	1	
		A	B	C	D	E	Boolean
EPI	5	0	0	1	0	1	$(A+B+\bar{C}+D+\bar{E})$
EPI	23	1	0	1	1	1	$(\bar{A}+B+\bar{C}+\bar{D}+\bar{E})$
EPI	8,12(4)	0	1	-	0	0	$(A+\bar{B}+D+E)$
EPI	26,30(4)	1	1	-	1	0	$(\bar{A}+\bar{B}+\bar{D}+E)$
EPI	0,2,8,10,16,18,24,26(2,8,16)	-	-	0	-	0	$(C+E)$

$$f(A,B,C,D,E) = (C+E)(A+B+\bar{C}+D+\bar{E})(\bar{A}+B+\bar{C}+\bar{D}+\bar{E})(A+\bar{B}+D+E)(\bar{A}+\bar{B}+\bar{D}+E)$$

0 ✓	0, 2 (2) ✓	0, 2, 8, 10 (2, 8) ✓	<u>0, 2, 8, 10, 16, 18, 24, 26 (2, 8, 16)</u>
2 ✓	0, 8 (8) ✓	0, 2, 16, 18 (2, 16) ✓	<u>0, 2, 16, 18, 8, 10, 24, 26 (2, 16, 8)</u> Dupe
8 ✓	0, 16 (16) ✓	<u>0, 8, 2, 10 (8, 2)</u> Dupe	<u>0, 8, 16, 24, 2, 10, 18, 26 (8, 16, 2)</u> Dupe
16 ✓	2, 10 (8) ✓	0, 8, 16, 24 (8, 16) ✓	
5	2, 18 (16) ✓	<u>0, 16, 2, 10 (16, 2)</u> Dupe	
10 ✓	8, 10 (2) ✓	<u>0, 16, 8, 24 (16, 8)</u> Dupe	
12 ✓	<u>8, 12 (4)</u>	2, 10, 18, 26 (8, 16) ✓	
18 ✓	8, 24 (16) ✓	<u>16, 24, 10, 26 (8, 2)</u> Dupe	
24 ✓	16, 18 (2) ✓	<u>2, 18, 10, 26 (16, 8)</u> Dupe	
26 ✓	16, 24 (8) ✓	<u>0, 24, 10, 26 (16, 2)</u> Dupe	
23	10, 26 (16) ✓	8, 10, 24, 26 (2, 16) ✓	
30 ✓	18, 26 (8) ✓	16, 18, 24, 26 (2, 8) ✓	
	<u>24, 26 (2)</u> ✓		
	<u>26, 30 (4)</u>		

Max - terms ⇒	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V
PI's ↓	0	2	5	8	10	12	16	18	23	24	26	30
EPI ⇒ 0, 2, 8, 10, 16, 18, 24, 26 (2, 8, 16)	⊗	⊗		×	⊗		⊗	⊗		⊗	×	
EPI ⇒ 8, 12 (4)				×		⊗						
EPI ⇒ 26, 30 (4)											×	⊗
EPI ⇒ 5			⊗									
EPI ⇒ 23									⊗			

Selected PI's ↓	16	8	4	2	1	
	A	B	C	D	E	
0, 2, 8, 16, 18, 24, 26 (2, 8, 16)	x	x	0	x	0	C + E
8, 12 (4)	0	1	x	0	0	A + \bar{B} + D + E
26, 30 (4)	1	1	x	1	0	\bar{A} + \bar{B} + \bar{D} + E
5	0	0	1	0	1	A + B + \bar{C} + D + \bar{E}
23	1	0	1	1	1	\bar{A} + B + \bar{C} + \bar{D} + E

The minimized solution for the given function is:

$$f(A, B, C, D, E) = (C + E)(A + \bar{B} + D + E)(\bar{A} + \bar{B} + \bar{D} + E) \cdot (A + B + \bar{C} + D + \bar{E})(\bar{A} + B + \bar{C} + \bar{D} + \bar{E})$$